(Slip Opinion)

NOTICE: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the preliminary print of the United States Reports. Readers are requested to notify the Reporter of Decisions, Supreme Court of the United States, Washington, D.C. 20543, of any typographical or other formal errors, in order that corrections may be made before the preliminary print goes to press.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 74-456

John Hill, Attorney General of Texas, et al., Appellants, *v.* Printing Industries of the Gulf Coast et al.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

[June 30, 1975]

PER CURIAM.

The parties to this case have informed us that the State of Texas has enacted the Political Funds Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1975, which will become effective on September 1, 1975.* Section 11 of that Act substantially amends Art. 14.10 (b) of the Texas Election Code, the constitutionality of which is at issue in this appeal. Although the parties take the position that these amendments do not affect this case, we prefer to remand the case to the District Court for reconsideration in light of the recent amendments, rather "than render an unnecessary judgment on the validity of the constitutional views expressed by the District Court." *White* v. *Regester*, — U. S. — (1975).

The judgment of the District Court is vacated. The case is remanded to that court for reconsideration in light of the new legislation and for dismissal if the case is or becomes moot.

So ordered.

MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.

*Tex. Const. Art. 3, § 39.